

# Boundary unique continuation on $C^1$ -Dini domains

Zihui Zhao

joint work with Carlos Kenig

University of Chicago

Minisymposium on *Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*,  
8ECM, Portorož, Slovenia

# Table of contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Main result
- 3 Analogous result in the interior

# Background

## Motivation

Let  $u$  be a harmonic function in  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- Let  $d = 2$ . If  $\nabla u$  vanishes on a boundary set  $E \subset \partial D$  with positive measure, then  $u \equiv \text{const}$ .

# Background

## Motivation

Let  $u$  be a harmonic function in  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- Let  $d = 2$ . If  $\nabla u$  vanishes on a boundary set  $E \subset \partial D$  with positive measure, then  $u \equiv \text{const}$ .
- (A classical question originating from Bers) Let  $d \geq 3$ . Is it possible that  $u$  and  $\nabla u$  vanish on a boundary set  $E \subset \partial D$  with positive surface measure, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \partial D : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}) > 0?$$

## Theorem (Bourgain-Wolff 1990)

When  $d \geq 3$ , there exists a non-trivial harmonic function  $u \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d})$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \partial D : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}) > 0.$$

## Theorem (Bourgain-Wolff 1990)

When  $d \geq 3$ , there exists a non-trivial harmonic function  $u \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d})$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \partial D : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}) > 0.$$

**Question:** Assuming that  $u \equiv 0$  on an open set  $U \subset \partial D$ , how big can the set  $\{x \in \partial D : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$  be?

### Theorem (Bourgain-Wolff 1990)

When  $d \geq 3$ , there exists a non-trivial harmonic function  $u \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d})$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \partial D : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}) > 0.$$

**Question:** Assuming that  $u \equiv 0$  on an open set  $U \subset \partial D$ , how big can the set  $\{x \in \partial D : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$  be?

### Theorem (Tolsa 2020, Adolfsson-Escauriaza 1997)

Let  $D$  be a Lipschitz domain with sufficiently small Lipschitz constant. Let  $u \in C(\overline{D})$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ . Suppose that  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D \cap B_{5R}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\{x \in \partial D \cap B_R : \nabla u(x) = 0\}) = 0.$$

# Main result

- Let  $D$  be a Dini domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ .



# Main result

- Let  $D$  be a Dini domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ .
- Suppose  $0 \in \partial D$  and  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D \cap B_{5R}(0)$ .

# Main result

- Let  $D$  be a Dini domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ .
- Suppose  $0 \in \partial D$  and  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D \cap B_{5R}(0)$ .
- Moreover, suppose the *frequency function* of  $u$  satisfies  $N_0(4R) \leq \Lambda$ .

# Main result

- Let  $D$  be a Dini domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ .
- Suppose  $0 \in \partial D$  and  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D \cap B_{5R}(0)$ .
- Moreover, suppose the *frequency function* of  $u$  satisfies  $N_0(4R) \leq \Lambda$ .

We define the **singular set**

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \{x \in \bar{D} : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}.$$

# Main result

- Let  $D$  be a Dini domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $D$ .
- Suppose  $0 \in \partial D$  and  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D \cap B_{5R}(0)$ .
- Moreover, suppose the *frequency function* of  $u$  satisfies  $N_0(4R) \leq \Lambda$ .

We define the **singular set**

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \{x \in \bar{D} : u(x) = 0 = |\nabla u(x)|\}.$$

Theorem (Kenig-Z 2021)

We have the following bound on the size of  $\mathcal{S}(u)$

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\mathcal{S}(u) \cap B_R(0)) \leq \mathcal{M}^{d-2,*}(\mathcal{S}(u) \cap B_R(0)) \leq C(\Lambda),$$

and  $\mathcal{S}(u) \cap B_R(0)$  is  $(d-2)$ -rectifiable.

## Definition

We say a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a  $C^1$ -**Dini domain** if locally, it is above the graph of a  $C^1$  function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.

$$D = \left\{ (x, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > \varphi(x) \right\},$$

where  $\varphi$  satisfies  $|\nabla\varphi(x) - \nabla\varphi(y)| \leq \theta(|x - y|)$  and  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing function satisfying the Dini condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(r)}{r} dr < +\infty.$$

## Definition

We say a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a  $C^1$ -**Dini domain** if locally, it is above the graph of a  $C^1$  function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.

$$D = \left\{ (x, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > \varphi(x) \right\},$$

where  $\varphi$  satisfies  $|\nabla\varphi(x) - \nabla\varphi(y)| \leq \theta(|x - y|)$  and  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a non-decreasing function satisfying the Dini condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(r)}{r} dr < +\infty.$$

## Remark

- In particular  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) are Dini domains.
- Sharp for  $u \in C^1(\overline{D})$ .

## Analogous result in the interior

### Theorem (Naber-Valtorta 2018)

Let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $B_3(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Suppose the frequency function of  $u$  satisfies  $N_0(2) \leq \Lambda$ . Let

$$\mathcal{C}(u) = \{x \in D : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$$

be the critical set of  $u$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(u) \cap B_1(0)$  is  $(d-2)$ -rectifiable, and

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\mathcal{C}(u) \cap B_1(0)) \leq C(\Lambda).$$

## Analogous result in the interior

### Theorem (Naber-Valtorta 2018)

Let  $u$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $B_3(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Suppose the frequency function of  $u$  satisfies  $\mathbf{N}_0(\mathbf{2}) \leq \mathbf{\Lambda}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{C}(u) = \{x \in D : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$$

be the critical set of  $u$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(u) \cap B_1(0)$  is  $(d-2)$ -rectifiable, and

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\mathcal{C}(u) \cap B_1(0)) \leq C(\mathbf{\Lambda}).$$



# Frequency function

Assume WOLG that  $u(0) = 0$ . We define the frequency function centered at 0 to be

$$r \mapsto N(r) := \frac{rD(r)}{H(r)} = \frac{r \iint_{B_r(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial B_r(0)} u^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}}.$$

# Frequency function

Assume WOLG that  $u(0) = 0$ . We define the frequency function centered at 0 to be

$$r \mapsto N(r) := \frac{rD(r)}{H(r)} = \frac{r \iint_{B_r(0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial B_r(0)} u^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}}.$$

## Example

Suppose  $u = P_{N_0}$  is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $N_0$ . Then  $N(r) \equiv N_0$ .

## Proposition (Monotonicity formula of the frequency function)

*The frequency function satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dr} N(r) = \frac{2r}{H(r)} \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \left| \partial_r u - \frac{N(r)}{r} u \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \geq 0,$$

where  $\partial_r u$  denotes the radial derivative of  $u$ .

*Thus  $r \mapsto N(r)$  is monotone increasing, and the limit  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N(r)$  exists.*

## Proposition (Monotonicity formula of the frequency function)

The frequency function satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dr} N(r) = \frac{2r}{H(r)} \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \left| \partial_r u - \frac{N(r)}{r} u \right|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \geq 0,$$

where  $\partial_r u$  denotes the radial derivative of  $u$ .

Thus  $r \mapsto N(r)$  is monotone increasing, and the limit  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N(r)$  exists.

### Remark

- $N := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N(r)$  is the degree of the leading order term in the expansion of  $u(x)$  near 0.
- The assumption  $N_0(2) \leq \Lambda$  means the growth of the harmonic function  $u$  can not be too fast near 0.

In a nutshell, the frequency function gives us a way to quantify how far  $u$  is from being a homogeneous harmonic polynomial  $P_N$ .

In a nutshell, the frequency function gives us a way to quantify how far  $u$  is from being a homogeneous harmonic polynomial  $P_N$ .

Consider the ideal situation  $u = P_N$ .

### Observation I

- $0 \in \mathcal{C}(u)$  (namely  $\nabla u(0) = 0$ )  $\iff N \geq 2$ .

In a nutshell, the frequency function gives us a way to quantify how far  $u$  is from being a homogeneous harmonic polynomial  $P_N$ .

Consider the ideal situation  $u = P_N$ .

### Observation I

- $0 \in \mathcal{C}(u)$  (namely  $\nabla u(0) = 0$ )  $\iff N \geq 2$ .
- $N = 1$ , i.e.  $P_N$  is linear  $\iff P_N$  is invariant in  $(d - 1)$  linearly independent directions.

In a nutshell, the frequency function gives us a way to quantify how far  $u$  is from being a homogeneous harmonic polynomial  $P_N$ .

Consider the ideal situation  $u = P_N$ .

### Observation I

- $0 \in \mathcal{C}(u)$  (namely  $\nabla u(0) = 0$ )  $\iff N \geq 2$ .
- $N = 1$ , i.e.  $P_N$  is linear  $\iff P_N$  is invariant in  $(d - 1)$  linearly independent directions.
- $N \geq 2$   $\iff P_N$  is invariant in at most  $(d - 2)$  linearly independent directions.



## Observation II: Cone splitting

Let  $h$  be a non-trivial harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Suppose  $h$  is homogeneous of degree  $N_i$  with respect to  $x_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $N_1 = N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $h$  is invariant along the direction  $x_2 - x_1$ , i.e.

$$h(y + t(x_2 - x_1)) = h(y), \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# Qualitative $\longrightarrow$ Quantitative

- If  $N_x(r) = N_x(r/2)$ , then  $u =$  (a constant multiple of) some hhP  $P_N$ .

# Qualitative $\longrightarrow$ Quantitative

- If  $N_x(r) = N_x(r/2)$ , then  $u =$  (a constant multiple of) some hhP  $P_N$ .
- If  $N_x(r) - N_x(r/2) \leq \delta$ , then  $u$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to (a constant multiple of) some hhP  $P_N$  in  $B_r(x)$ .

# Qualitative $\longrightarrow$ Quantitative

- If  $N_x(r) = N_x(r/2)$ , then  $u =$  (a constant multiple of) some hhP  $P_N$ .
- If  $N_x(r) - N_x(r/2) \leq \delta$ , then  $u$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to (a constant multiple of) some hhP  $P_N$  in  $B_r(x)$ .
- If  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are two distinct points such that  $|x - x'| < r/2$ , and

$$N_x(r) - N_x(r/2) \leq \delta,$$

$$N_{x'}(r) - N_{x'}(r/2) \leq \delta,$$

then  $P^x$  is almost invariant along the direction  $\frac{x' - x}{r}$ .

Thank you!