

# Composition series of a class of induced representations

Igor Ciganović

Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb,

8ECM NumberTheory Minisymposia, Portorož,

June, 2021.

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^{\tau}g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^{\tau}g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^\tau g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^\tau g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

Fix  $B \leq G_n$  Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^\tau g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^\tau g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

Fix  $B \leq G_n$  Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Standard parabolic subgroups of  $G_n$  are in bijection with ordered partitions  $s$  of  $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$P_s \cong M_s N_s \longleftrightarrow s = (n_1, \dots, n_k), \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, \quad n_i \geq 1,$$
$$M_s \cong GL(n_1, F) \times \dots \times GL(n_k, F) \times G_{n-m}.$$

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^t g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^t g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

Fix  $B \leq G_n$  Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Standard parabolic subgroups of  $G_n$  are in bijection with ordered partitions  $s$  of  $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$P_s \cong M_s N_s \longleftrightarrow s = (n_1, \dots, n_k), \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, \quad n_i \geq 1, \\ M_s \cong GL(n_1, F) \times \dots \times GL(n_k, F) \times G_{n-m}.$$

$\delta_i$  smooth representation of  $GL(n_i, F)$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$

$\tau$  smooth representation of  $G_{n-m}$

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^t g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^t g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

Fix  $B \leq G_n$  Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Standard parabolic subgroups of  $G_n$  are in bijection with ordered partitions  $s$  of  $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$P_s \cong M_s N_s \longleftrightarrow s = (n_1, \dots, n_k), \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, \quad n_i \geq 1, \\ M_s \cong GL(n_1, F) \times \dots \times GL(n_k, F) \times G_{n-m}.$$

$\delta_i$  smooth representation of  $GL(n_i, F)$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$

$\tau$  smooth representation of  $G_{n-m}$

$\pi = \delta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \delta_k \otimes \tau$  is smooth representation of  $M_s$

$F$  local nonarchimedean field of characteristic different from 2.

$$G_n = SO(2n + 1, F) = \{g \in GL(2n + 1, F) : {}^t g = g^{-1}\},$$

where  ${}^t g$  is transposed matrix of  $g$  with respect to the second diagonal.

Fix  $B \leq G_n$  Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Standard parabolic subgroups of  $G_n$  are in bijection with ordered partitions  $s$  of  $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$P_s \cong M_s N_s \longleftrightarrow s = (n_1, \dots, n_k), \quad n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, \quad n_i \geq 1, \\ M_s \cong GL(n_1, F) \times \dots \times GL(n_k, F) \times G_{n-m}.$$

$\delta_i$  smooth representation of  $GL(n_i, F)$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$

$\tau$  smooth representation of  $G_{n-m}$

$\pi = \delta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \delta_k \otimes \tau$  is smooth representation of  $M_s$

$$\delta_1 \times \dots \times \delta_k \rtimes \tau = \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n} (\delta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \delta_k \otimes \tau)$$

normalized parabolic induction.



$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\rho$  irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\rho$  irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\nu = |\det|_F$

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\rho$  irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\nu = |\det|_F$

$[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \{\nu^x \rho, \dots, \nu^y \rho\}$  segment,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\rho$  irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\nu = |\det|_F$

$[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \{\nu^x \rho, \dots, \nu^y \rho\}$  segment,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

$$\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \hookrightarrow \nu^y \rho \times \dots \times \nu^x \rho$$

unique irreducible representation.

$\sigma$  smooth representation of  $G_n$

$r_s(\sigma) = r_{M_s}(\sigma) = \text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma)$  normalized Jacquet module of  $\sigma$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(\sigma, \text{Ind}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\pi)) = \text{Hom}_{M_s}(\text{Jacq}_{M_s}^{G_n}(\sigma), \pi).$$

Frobenius reciprocity.

$\rho$  irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\nu = |\det|_F$

$[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \{\nu^x \rho, \dots, \nu^y \rho\}$  segment,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

$$\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \hookrightarrow \nu^y \rho \times \dots \times \nu^x \rho$$

unique irreducible representation.

$$e([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) = e(\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho])) = \frac{x+y}{2}.$$

For  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$  we have  $[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \emptyset$   
 $\delta(\emptyset)$  irreducible representation of the trivial group



For  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$  we have  $[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \emptyset$   
 $\delta(\emptyset)$  irreducible representation of the trivial group

$\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho])^\sim = \delta([\nu^{-y} \tilde{\rho}, \nu^{-x} \tilde{\rho}])$ ,  $\sim$  contragredient representation

For  $y - x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$  we have  $[\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho] = \emptyset$   
 $\delta(\emptyset)$  irreducible representation of the trivial group

$\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho])^\sim = \delta([\nu^{-y} \tilde{\rho}, \nu^{-x} \tilde{\rho}])$ ,  $\sim$  contragredient representation

$\delta(\Delta) \times \delta(\Delta') \cong \delta(\Delta') \times \delta(\Delta)$  irreducible,  $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$  segments

## Geometric lemma

$$\mu^*(\sigma) = 1 \otimes \sigma + \sum_{k=1}^n \text{s.s.}(r_{(k)}(\sigma))$$

$$\mu^*(\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \rtimes \sigma) = \sum_{\delta' \otimes \sigma' \leq \mu^*(\sigma)} \sum_{i=0}^{y-x+1} \sum_{j=0}^i$$

$$\delta([\nu^{i-y} \rho, \nu^{-x} \rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{y+1-j} \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \times \delta' \otimes \delta([\nu^{y+1-i} \rho, \nu^{y-j} \rho]) \rtimes \sigma'$$

## Geometric lemma

$$\mu^*(\sigma) = 1 \otimes \sigma + \sum_{k=1}^n \text{s.s.}(r_{(k)}(\sigma))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \rtimes \sigma) &= \sum_{\delta' \otimes \sigma' \leq \mu^*(\sigma)} \sum_{i=0}^{y-x+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \\ &\delta([\nu^{i-y} \rho, \nu^{-x} \rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{y+1-j} \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \times \delta' \otimes \delta([\nu^{y+1-i} \rho, \nu^{y-j} \rho]) \rtimes \sigma' \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\delta([\nu^x \rho, \nu^y \rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \delta([\nu^{-y} \rho, \nu^{-x} \rho]) \rtimes \sigma$ .

## Langlands classification

$$\sigma \longleftrightarrow \delta(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times \delta(\Delta_k) \rtimes \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$\sigma$  irreducible representation of  $G_n$

$$e(\Delta_i^\rho) \geq e(\Delta_j^\rho) > 0, \quad i < j,$$

$\tau$  tempered representation of  $G_{n'}$

## Langlands classification

$$\sigma \longleftrightarrow \delta(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times \delta(\Delta_k) \rtimes \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$\sigma$  irreducible representation of  $G_n$

$$e(\Delta_i^\rho) \geq e(\Delta_j^\rho) > 0, \quad i < j,$$

$\tau$  tempered representation of  $G_{n'}$

$\sigma = \text{Lang}(\delta(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times \delta(\Delta_k) \rtimes \tau)$  is of multiplicity 1 in the induced representation.

## Mœglin-Tadić classification of discrete series

$$\sigma \longleftrightarrow (\text{Jord}, \sigma_{\text{cusp}}, \epsilon)$$

$$\text{Jord} = \{(a, \rho) \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, \delta([\nu^{-(a-1)/2}\rho, \nu^{(a-1)/2}\rho]) \rtimes \sigma\}$$

is irreducible and reduces for some larger  $a$  of the same parity}

$\sigma \hookrightarrow \pi \rtimes \sigma_{\text{cusp}}$ , for some  $\pi \in \text{Irr GL}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{cusp}}$  is irreducible cuspidal,

$$\epsilon : \subseteq \text{Jord} \cup (\text{Jord} \times \text{Jord}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

Assume that  $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho \rtimes \sigma_{cusp}$  reduces.

$$\epsilon((\mathbf{a}, \rho), (\mathbf{a}', \rho)) = \epsilon(\mathbf{a}, \rho)\epsilon(\mathbf{a}', \rho)^{-1} = \epsilon(\mathbf{a}, \rho) \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{a}', \rho)^{-1}$$



Assume that  $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho \rtimes \sigma_{cusp}$  reduces.

$$\epsilon((a, \rho), (a', \rho)) = \epsilon(a, \rho)\epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1} = \epsilon(a, \rho) \cdot \epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1}$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho = \{a \mid (a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}\}$$

$$(a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}$$

$$a_- = \max(\{a' < a \mid (a', \rho) \in \text{Jord}\})$$

Assume that  $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho \rtimes \sigma_{cusp}$  reduces.

$$\epsilon((a, \rho), (a', \rho)) = \epsilon(a, \rho)\epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1} = \epsilon(a, \rho) \cdot \epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1}$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho = \{a \mid (a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}\}$$

$$(a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}$$

$$a_- = \max(\{a' < a \mid (a', \rho) \in \text{Jord}\})$$

$$\epsilon(a, \rho)\epsilon(a_-, \rho)^{-1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } \pi' \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}_{n_{\pi'}})$$

$$\text{such that } \sigma \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{(a_-+1)/2}\rho, \nu^{(a-1)/2}\rho]) \rtimes \pi'$$

Assume that  $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho \rtimes \sigma_{cusp}$  reduces.

$$\epsilon((a, \rho), (a', \rho)) = \epsilon(a, \rho)\epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1} = \epsilon(a, \rho) \cdot \epsilon(a', \rho)^{-1}$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho = \{a \mid (a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}\}$$

$$(a, \rho) \in \text{Jord}$$

$$a_- = \max(\{a' < a \mid (a', \rho) \in \text{Jord}\})$$

$$\epsilon(a, \rho)\epsilon(a_-, \rho)^{-1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } \pi' \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}_{n_{\pi'}})$$

$$\text{such that } \sigma \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{(a_-+1)/2}\rho, \nu^{(a-1)/2}\rho]) \rtimes \pi'$$

For  $a = \min(\text{Jord}_\rho)$  we have

$$\epsilon(a, \rho) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } \pi'' \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}_{n_{\pi''}})$$

$$\text{such that } \sigma \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{1/2}\rho, \nu^{(a-1)/2}\rho]) \rtimes \pi''.$$

Goal: determine composition series of

$$\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq a < b < c \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$$

$\rho$  is irreducible cuspidal unitarizable representation of  $GL(m_\rho, F)$

$\sigma$  is irreducible cuspidal representation of  $G_n$

$\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho \rtimes \sigma$  reduces.

## Basic reducibilities

$$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \sigma_1 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma).$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_1) = \{(2a + 1, \rho)\}, \epsilon_{\sigma_1}(2a + 1, \rho) = 1.$$

## Basic reducibilities

$$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \sigma_1 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma).$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_1) = \{(2a + 1, \rho)\}, \epsilon_{\sigma_1}(2a + 1, \rho) = 1.$$

$$\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma).$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_2) = \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_3) = \{(2b + 1, \rho), (2c + 1, \rho)\},$$

$$\epsilon_{\sigma_2}(2b + 1, \rho) = \epsilon_{\sigma_2}(2c + 1, \rho) = 1,$$

$$\epsilon_{\sigma_3}(2b + 1, \rho) = \epsilon_{\sigma_3}(2c + 1, \rho) = -1.$$

## Basic reducibilities

$$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \sigma_1 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma).$$

$$\text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_1) = \{(2a + 1, \rho)\}, \epsilon_{\sigma_1}(2a + 1, \rho) = 1.$$

$$\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_2) = \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_3) &= \{(2b + 1, \rho), (2c + 1, \rho)\}, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma_2}(2b + 1, \rho) &= \epsilon_{\sigma_2}(2c + 1, \rho) = 1, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma_3}(2b + 1, \rho) &= \epsilon_{\sigma_3}(2c + 1, \rho) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1 = \sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_4) = \text{Jord}_\rho(\sigma_5) &= \{(2a + 1, \rho), (2b + 1, \rho), (2c + 1, \rho)\}, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma_4}(2a + 1, \rho) &= \epsilon_{\sigma_4}(2b + 1, \rho) = \epsilon_{\sigma_4}(2c + 1, \rho) = 1, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma_5}(2a + 1, \rho) &= 1, \epsilon_{\sigma_5}(2b + 1, \rho) = \epsilon_{\sigma_5}(2c + 1, \rho) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

representation  $\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma$  has two irreducible representations,  $\sigma_4$  i  $\sigma_5$ , they appear with multiplicity one in the induced representation.

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2 &= \sigma_4 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2), \\ \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3 &= \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3).\end{aligned}$$



## Teorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma = \\ & \sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3) \\ & + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1) + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

We have a filtration

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) \oplus \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3) \oplus \\ & \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1) \\ & \hookrightarrow (\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma) / (\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume  $-b + c \geq \frac{1}{2} + a$ . Observe intertwining

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-a}\rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho]) \rtimes \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume  $-b + c \geq \frac{1}{2} + a$ . Observe intertwining

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-a}\rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho]) \rtimes \sigma. & \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the second map is

$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2 + \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3$ , that is

$$\sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3).$$

Proof. Assume  $-b + c \geq \frac{1}{2} + a$ . Observe intertwining

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-a}\rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho]) \rtimes \sigma. & \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the second map is

$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2 + \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3$ , that is

$$\sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3).$$

The kernel of the last map is

$\delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1 = \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1$ , that is

$$\sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1).$$

Proof. Assume  $-b + c \geq \frac{1}{2} + a$ . Observe intertwining

$$\begin{aligned} \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \cong \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma & \\ \rightarrow \delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{-a}\rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho]) \rtimes \sigma. & \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the second map is

$\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2 + \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3$ , that is

$$\sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3).$$

The kernel of the last map is

$\delta([\nu^{-c}\rho, \nu^b\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1 = \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1$ , that is

$$\sigma_4 + \sigma_5 + \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1).$$

The image of the composition is

$\text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma)$ .

Representations  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma_5$  are in two kernels, but their multiplicity is one in the induced representation. The first formula is proved.

Representations  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma_5$  are in two kernels, but their multiplicity is one in the induced representation. The first formula is proved.

Now we have

$$\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5 \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1 \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma$$
$$\text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1) \hookrightarrow (\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma) / (\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5)$$

Representations  $\sigma_4$  and  $\sigma_5$  are in two kernels, but their multiplicity is one in the induced representation. The first formula is proved.

Now we have

$$\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5 \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1 \hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma$$

$$\text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_1) \hookrightarrow (\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma) / (\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5 &\hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2 \oplus \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3 \\ &\hookrightarrow \delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_2) \oplus \text{Lang}(\delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma_3) &\hookrightarrow \\ (\delta([\nu^{-b}\rho, \nu^c\rho]) \times \delta([\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho, \nu^a\rho]) \rtimes \sigma) &/ (\sigma_4 \oplus \sigma_5) \end{aligned}$$

The second formula follows.