Regularity of a weak solution to a linear fluid-composite structure interaction problem

Marija Galić

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb

8th European Congress of Mathematics, 20 - 26 June 2021
”Mathematical analysis: the interaction of fluids/viscoelastic materials and solids” minisymposium

IP-2018-01-3706
This talk will be divided into three sections:

- Problem description
- Existence result
- Regularity results

The first two parts are a joint work with:

- Sunčica Čanić, *University of California, Berkeley,*
- Boris Muha, *Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb,*
- Josip Tambača, *Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb.*

Matko Ljulj and Yifan Wang did the numerical simulations of the FSI problem considered in this talk.
We consider a **linear fluid-structure interaction problem** between an incompressible, viscous, Newtonian fluid and the motion of an elastic structure.

The fluid flow is modeled by the time-dependent Stokes equations while the structure is modeled as a linearly elastic cylindrical Koiter shell coupled with a net made of elastic rods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fluid</th>
<th>3D Stokes equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shell</td>
<td>2D linear Koiter shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mesh</td>
<td>1D net made of elastic rods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Main assumptions of the model

- The problem is set on a **cylindrical** domain in 3D, and is driven by the time-dependent inlet and outlet pressure data.
- The flow is assumed to be **laminar**, and the structure displacement is assumed to be small allowing displacement in all three spatial directions.
- No smallness on the structure velocity is assumed.
- The fluid and the mesh-supported structure are coupled via the **kinematic and dynamic coupling conditions** describing continuity of velocity and balance of contact forces.
Motivation

- This problem was motivated by a study of blood flow through medium-to-large human arteries, such as the aorta or coronary arteries, treated with vascular stents.
- The vascular stent is a thin, metallic mesh tube which is inserted at the location of the narrowing of a diseased coronary artery in order to prop the artery open.
- The procedure of inserting the stent inside the artery is called coronary angioplasty.
Model description - the fluid

We consider the flow of an incompressible, viscous fluid through a cylindrical domain, denoted by $\Omega$:

$$\Omega = \{(z, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z \in (0, L), \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq R\}.$$ 

The fluid domain boundary consists of three parts: the lateral boundary $\Gamma$, which is a cylinder of radius $R$, the inlet boundary $\Gamma_{in}$ and the outlet boundary $\Gamma_{out}$. The time-dependent Stokes equations are used to model the flow in $\Omega$:

$$\begin{align*}
    \rho_F \partial_t \mathbf{u} &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}, \\
    \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

in $\Omega$, $t \in (0, T)$.  

(1)
Model description - the fluid

At the inlet and outlet we prescribe the pressure, with the tangential fluid velocity equal to zero:

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= P_{in/out}(t), \\
\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_z &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

on \( \Gamma_{in/out} \),

where \( P_{in/out} \) are given.
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The fluid velocity will be assumed to belong to the following classical function space:

\[
V_F = \{ \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_z = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{\text{in/out}} \}.
\]
Model description - the shell

The lateral boundary of the fluid domain will be assumed elastic, and modeled as a clamped cylindrical Koiter shell of thickness $h$, length $L$, and reference radius of the middle surface $R$. This reference configuration, which we denote by $\Gamma$, can be defined via parameterization

$$\varphi : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \varphi(z, \theta) = (z, R \cos \theta, R \sin \theta),$$

where $\omega = (0, L) \times (0, 2\pi)$, and $R > 0$. Under loading, the Koiter shell is displaced from its reference configuration $\Gamma$ by a displacement $\eta = \eta(t, z, \theta) = (\eta_z, \eta_r, \eta_\theta)$. Let $V_K$ denote the following function space:

**Shell space**

$$V_K = \{\eta = (\eta_z, \eta_r, \eta_\theta) \in H^1(\omega) \times H^2(\omega) \times H^1(\omega) :$$

$$\eta(t, z, \theta) = \partial_z \eta_r(t, z, \theta) = 0, z \in \{0, L\}, \theta \in (0, 2\pi),$$

$$\eta(t, z, 0) = \eta(t, z, 2\pi), \partial_\theta \eta_r(t, z, 0) = \partial_\theta \eta_r(t, z, 2\pi), z \in (0, L)\}.$$
The displacement $\eta(t, z, \theta) = (\eta_z, \eta_r, \eta_\theta)$ of the deformed shell from the reference configuration $\Gamma$ is a solution to the following elastodynamics problem, written in weak form:

\begin{equation}
\rho_K h \int_\omega \partial_t^2 \eta \cdot \psi_R + \langle \mathcal{L} \eta, \psi \rangle = \int_\omega f \cdot \psi_R, \quad \forall \psi \in V_K.
\end{equation}

Here, $\rho_K$ is the shell density and $f$ is the force density acting on the shell. $\mathcal{L}$ is an operator that describes elastic properties (change of metric tensor and change of curvature tensor) of the shell. We emphasize that we have the coercivity of the operator $\mathcal{L}$, i.e. $\langle \mathcal{L} \eta, \eta \rangle \geq c\|\eta\|^2, \forall \eta \in V_K$. 
An elastic mesh is a three-dimensional elastic body defined as a union of three-dimensional slender components called struts. Since each strut is "thin", meaning that its two dimensions are small comparing to the third one, we approximate it with one-dimensional curved rod model. For the \( i \)-th curved rod, the middle line is parameterized via

\[
P_i : [0, l_i] \rightarrow \varphi(\omega), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_E,
\]

and on each rod we have next family of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_S A_i \partial_t^2 d_i &= \partial_s p_i + f_i, \\
\rho_S M_i \partial_t^2 w_i &= \partial_s q_i + t_i \times p_i, \\
0 &= \partial_s w_i - Q_i H_i^{-1} Q_i^T q_i, \\
0 &= \partial_s d_i + t_i \times w_i.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)
Here, $d_i$ is the displacement of the middle line of the $i$–th rod, $w_i$ is the infinitesimal rotation of the cross-section of the $i$–th rod, $q_i$ is the contact moment, and $p_i$ is the contact force.
Model description - the mesh

Here, $d_i$ is the displacement of the middle line of the $i$–th rod, $w_i$ is the infinitesimal rotation of the cross-section of the $i$–th rod, $q_i$ is the contact moment, and $p_i$ is the contact force.

At each vertex of the mesh we need to prescribe coupling conditions:

- **kinematic** … continuity of displacements and infinitesimal rotations
- **dynamic** … balance of contact forces and contact moments
Model description - the mesh

We first introduce a function space consisting of all the $H^1$-functions $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w})$ defined on the entire net $\mathcal{N}$, such that they satisfy the kinematic coupling conditions at each vertex:

$$H^1(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R}^6) = \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w}) = ((\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{w}_1), \ldots, (\mathbf{d}_{n_E}, \mathbf{w}_{n_E})) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_E} H^1(0, l_i; \mathbb{R}^6) :$$

$$\mathbf{d}_i(P_{i}^{-1}(V)) = \mathbf{d}_j(P_{j}^{-1}(V)), \mathbf{w}_i(P_{i}^{-1}(V)) = \mathbf{w}_j(P_{j}^{-1}(V)), \forall V \in \mathcal{V}, V = e_i \cap e_j, i, j = 1, \ldots, n_E \}.$$

The solution space is defined to contain the conditions of inextensibility and unshearability as follows:

Mesh space

$$V_S = \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w}) \in H^1(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R}^6) : \partial_s \mathbf{d}_i + \mathbf{t}_i \times \mathbf{w}_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n_E \}.$$
The elastic mesh is fixed to the shell

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_E} P_i([0, l_i]) \subset \Gamma = \varphi(\bar{\omega}).$$

Since \(\varphi\) is injective on \(\omega\), functions \(\pi_i\), denoting the reparameterizations of the mesh struts:

$$\pi_i = \varphi^{-1} \circ P_i : [0, l_i] \rightarrow \bar{\omega}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_E$$

are well defined.
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$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_E} P_i([0, l_i]) \subset \Gamma = \varphi(\omega).$$

Since $\varphi$ is injective on $\omega$, functions $\pi_i$, denoting the reparameterizations of the mesh struts:

$$\pi_i = \varphi^{-1} \circ P_i : [0, l_i] \to \omega, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_E$$

are well defined.

The elastic mesh and the shell are coupled through the following coupling conditions:

- **kinematic:** $\eta(t, \pi_i(s_i)) = d_i(t, s_i), \forall s_i \in [0, l_i]$ such that $\pi_i(s_i) = (z, \theta) \in \omega$,

- **dynamic:** $f_R = - \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \frac{f_i \circ \pi_i^{-1}}{||\pi'_i \circ \pi_i^{-1}||} \delta J_i, \forall (z, \theta) \in \omega$, where

$$J_i = \pi_i([0, l_i]).$$
Parameterization of the mesh struts

\[ \varphi \]

\[ \omega \]

\[ \omega_s \]

\[ 0 \]

\[ l_i \]

\[ \pi_i \]

\[ \Gamma \]

\[ \Gamma_s \]

\[ p_i \]
Coupling between the fluid and the structure

The coupling between the fluid and the structure is defined by two sets of coupling conditions: the kinematic and dynamic coupling conditions, satisfied at the fixed, lateral boundary $\Gamma$, giving rise to a linear fluid-structure coupling:

- **kinematic:** $\partial_t \eta = u|_\Gamma \circ \varphi$ on $(0, T) \times \omega$,
- **dynamic:**

\[\rho_K h \partial^2_t \eta R + L \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \frac{f_i \circ \pi_i^{-1}}{\| \pi_i' \circ \pi_i^{-1} \|} \delta J_i = -J(\sigma \circ \varphi)(n \circ \varphi)\] on $(0, T) \times \omega$,

where $J$ denotes the Jacobian of the transformation from cylindrical to Cartesian coordinates, and $n$ denotes the outer unit normal on $\Gamma$. 
The fluid-mesh-shell problem

In summary, we study the following fluid-structure interaction problem.

**Problem 1.** Find \((u, p, \eta, d, w)\) such that

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \rho_F \partial_t u &= \nabla \cdot \sigma \\
    \nabla \cdot u &= 0
\end{aligned}
\] in \((0, T) \times \Omega, \quad \text{(4)}

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \partial_t \eta &= u \circ \varphi \\
    \rho_K h \partial_t^2 \eta R + \mathcal{L} \eta + \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \frac{f_i \circ \pi_i^{-1}}{||\pi'_i \circ \pi_i^{-1}||} \delta J_i &= -J(\sigma \circ \varphi)(n \circ \varphi) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \omega, \quad \text{(5)}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
    \rho_S A_i \partial_t^2 d_i &= \partial_s p_i + f_i \\
    \rho_S M_i \partial_t^2 w_i &= \partial_s q_i + t_i \times p_i \\
    0 &= \partial_s w_i - Q_i H_i^{-1} Q_i^T q_i \\
    0 &= \partial_s d_i + t_i \times w_i
\end{aligned}
\] on \((0, T) \times (0, l_i). \quad \text{(6)}

Problem (4)-(6) is supplemented with the following set of boundary and initial conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
  p &= P_{\text{in\!/out}}(t), & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_{\text{in\!/out}}, \\
  u \times e_z &= 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_{\text{in\!/out}}, \\
  \eta(t, 0, \theta) &= \eta(t, L, \theta) = 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\
  \partial_z \eta_r(t, 0, \theta) &= \partial_z \eta_r(t, L, \theta) = 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times (0, 2\pi), \\
  \eta(t, z, 0) &= \eta(t, z, 2\pi), & \text{on } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\
  \partial_\theta \eta_r(t, z, 0) &= \partial_\theta \eta_r(t, z, 2\pi), & \text{on } (0, T) \times (0, L),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(0) &= u_0, & \eta(0) &= \eta_0, & \partial_t \eta(0) &= v_0, \\
  d_i(0) &= d_{0i}, & \partial_t d_i(0) &= k_{0i}, & w_i(0) &= w_{0i}, & \partial_t w_i(0) &= z_{0i}.
\end{align*}
\]
Energy inequality

The formal energy estimate shows that the total energy $E(t)$ of the problem is bounded by the data of the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + D(t) \leq C(P_{in}(t), P_{out}(t)), \quad (9)$$

where $E(t)$ denotes the total energy of the coupled problem (the sum of the kinetic and elastic energy), $D(t)$ denotes dissipation due to fluid viscosity, and $C(P_{in}(t), P_{out}(t))$ is a constant which depends only on the $L^2$-norms of the inlet and outlet pressure data.
We define the following evolution spaces associated with the fluid problem, the Koiter shell problem, the mesh problem and the coupled mesh-shell problem:

- \( V_F(0, T) = L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; V_F) \),
- \( V_K(0, T) = W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(R; \omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_K) \),
- \( V_S(0, T) = W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{N})) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_S) \),
- \( V_{KS}(0, T) = \{ (\eta, d, w) \in V_K(0, T) \times V_S(0, T) : \eta \circ \pi = d \text{ on } \prod_{i=1}^{n_E} (0, l_i) \} \).
Definition of a weak solution

The solution space for the coupled fluid-mesh-shell interaction problem involves the kinematic coupling condition, which is, thus, enforced in a strong way:

\[ \mathcal{V}(0, T) = \{ (u, \eta, d, w) \in V_F(0, T) \times V_{KS}(0, T) : u \circ \varphi = \partial_t \eta \text{ on } \omega \}. \]

The associated test space is given by:

\[ \mathcal{Q}(0, T) = \{ (\nu, \psi, \xi, \zeta) \in C^1_c([0, T); V_F \times V_{KS}) : \nu \circ \varphi = \psi \text{ on } \omega \}. \]
Definition of a weak solution

We say that \((u, \eta, d, w) \in V(0, T)\) is a weak solution of Problem 1 if for all test functions \((\upsilon, \psi, \xi, \zeta) \in Q(0, T)\) the following equality holds:

\[
- \rho_F \int_0^T \int_\Omega u \cdot \partial_t \upsilon + 2\mu_F \int_0^T \int_\Omega D(u) : D(\upsilon) - \rho_K h \int_0^T \int_\omega \partial_t \eta \cdot \partial_t \psi R \\
+ \int_0^T a_K(\eta, \psi) - \rho_S \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} A_i \int_0^T \int_0^{l_i} \partial_t d_i \cdot \partial_t \xi_i - \rho_S \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \int_0^T \int_0^{l_i} M_i \partial_t w_i \cdot \partial_t \zeta_i \\
+ \int_0^T a_S(w, \zeta) = \int_0^T \langle F(t), \upsilon \rangle_{\Gamma_{\text{in/out}}} + \rho_F \int_\Omega u_0 \cdot \upsilon(0) + \rho_K h \int_\omega v_0 \cdot \psi(0) R \\
+ \rho_S \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} A_i \int_0^{l_i} k_{0i} \cdot \xi_i(0) + \rho_S \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \int_0^{l_i} M_i z_{0i} \cdot \zeta_i(0),
\]

(10)
Existence of the weak solution

Theorem

Let \( u_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \), \( \eta_0 \in H^1(\omega) \), \( v_0 \in L^2(R; \omega) \), \( (d_0, w_0) \in V_s \), \( (k_0, z_0) \in L^2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{R}^6) \) be such that

\[
\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0, \ (u_0|_\Gamma \circ \varphi) \cdot e_r = (v_0)_r, \ u_0|_{\Gamma_{in/out}} \times e_z = 0, \ \eta_0 \circ \pi = d_0.
\]

Furthermore, let all the physical constants be positive: \( \rho_K, \rho_S, \rho_F, \lambda, \mu, \mu_F > 0 \) and \( A_i > 0, \forall i = 1, \ldots, n_E \), and let \( P_{in/out} \in L^2_{loc}(0, \infty) \). Then for every \( T > 0 \) there exists a weak solution to Problem 1.
Existence of the weak solution

In order to prove the existence of the weak solution to Problem 1, we proceed as follows:

- Use the Lie operator splitting scheme to split the problem into two subproblems, the fluid and the structure subproblem.
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- Define approximate solutions, show its uniform boundedness and extract weak and weak* converging subsequences.

Pass to the limit to see that the limiting functions satisfy the weak form of Problem 1.
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Regularity of the weak solution

Formal energy estimates show that taking \((u, \partial_t \eta, \partial_t d, \partial_t w)\) as a test function in the full, coupled problem, leads to the following regularity of the solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; V_F), \\
  \eta &\in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V_K), \\
  (d, w) &\in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{N})) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathcal{N})).
\end{align*}
\]
One could take \((\partial_t u, \partial_{tt} \eta, \partial_{tt} d, \partial_{tt} w)\) as a test function.

The problem that appears is that we do not get the ”right sign” in front of the elastic terms in structure equation.

This is due to parabolic-hyperbolic-hyperbolic nature of the coupling between the fluid and composite structure.

Taking \((\partial_{tt} u, \partial_{ttt} \eta, \partial_{ttt} d, \partial_{ttt} w)\) solves this mismatch!
Time regularity - estimates

We define the time difference quotients in the following way:

\[ D^{\Delta t} u(t, x) = \frac{u(t + \Delta t, x) - u(t, x)}{\Delta t}, \]

and define the test functions for our fluid-composite structure interaction problem as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu &= -D^{-\Delta t} (D^{\Delta t} u), & \psi &= -D^{-\Delta t} (D^{\Delta t} \partial_t \eta), \\
\xi &= -D^{-\Delta t} (D^{\Delta t} \partial_t d), & \zeta &= -D^{-\Delta t} (D^{\Delta t} \partial_t w),
\end{align*}
\]

(11)
Time regularity - estimates

The weak solution \((\mathbf{u}, \eta, d, w)\) of Problem 1 belongs to the following function spaces:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u} &\in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, T; V_F), \\
\eta &\in W^{2,\infty}(0, T; L^2(R; \omega)) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V_K), \\
(d, w) &\in W^{2,\infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{N})) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; V_S)
\end{align*}
\]

provided that initial data satisfy:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_0 &\in H^2(\Omega), \quad \eta_0 \in V_K, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \in V_K, \quad (d_0, w_0) \in V_S, \quad (k_0, z_0) \in V_S
\end{align*}
\]

together with the compatibility conditions:

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0, \quad (\mathbf{u}_0 \big|_{\Gamma \circ \varphi}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_r = (\mathbf{v}_0)_r, \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \big|_{\Gamma_{in/out}} \times \mathbf{e}_z = 0, \quad \eta_0 \circ \mathbf{\pi} = d_0.
\]

For the inlet and outlet pressure we demand \(P_{in/out} \in H^1_{loc}(0, \infty)\).
One could naively take \((-\Delta \mathbf{u}, -\Delta \partial_t \eta, -\Delta \partial_t \mathbf{d}, -\Delta \partial_t \mathbf{w})\) as a test function, where

\[
\Delta \mathbf{u}(z, r, \theta) = (\Delta u_z(z, r, \theta), \Delta u_r(z, r, \theta), \Delta u_\theta(z, r, \theta)) \\
= (\partial_{zz} u_z + \partial_{rr} u_z + \partial_{\theta\theta} u_z, \partial_{zz} u_r + \partial_{rr} u_r + \partial_{\theta\theta} u_r, \partial_{zz} u_\theta + \partial_{rr} u_\theta + \partial_{\theta\theta} u_\theta)
\]

and

\[
\Delta \partial_t \eta(z, \theta) = (\Delta \partial_t \eta_z(z, \theta), \Delta \partial_t \eta_r(z, \theta), \Delta \partial_t \eta_\theta(z, \theta)) \\
= (\partial_{zz} \partial_t \eta_z + \partial_{\theta\theta} \partial_t \eta_z, \partial_{zz} \partial_t \eta_r + \partial_{\theta\theta} \partial_t \eta_r, \partial_{zz} \partial_t \eta_\theta + \partial_{\theta\theta} \partial_t \eta_\theta).
\]

The problem that we encounter here is non-compatibility of the test functions, i.e. \(\Delta \mathbf{u} \neq \Delta \partial_t \eta\) on \(\Gamma\).
For the fluid test function we take $-\chi \Delta u$, where $\chi$ is a smooth cut-off function which has support in the interior of the fluid domain. For the shell + mesh part we take zero test functions. The fluid test function is not divergence-free! One obtains an additional fluid interior regularity

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_0)) \text{ and } u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega_0)),$$

where $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$. 
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Shell interior regularity

- We now exclude the mesh from calculations.
- Take
  \[ \nu = -\tilde{\chi}\Delta u \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = -\chi\Delta \partial_t \eta \]
  as a test function for the fluid ans shell equations, respectively.
- As we already noticed these two test functions are non-compatible, so we have to take slightly modified test function for the fluid part, namely:
  \[ \nu = \tilde{\chi}(-\partial_{zz}u_{zz} - \partial_{\theta\theta}u_{zz}, -\partial_{zz}u_{rr} - \partial_{\theta\theta}u_{rr}, -\partial_{zz}u_{\theta\theta} - \partial_{\theta\theta}u_{\theta\theta}). \]
- For the fluid velocity, we obtain an additional regularity in \( z \)-direction and in \( \theta \)-direction.
- An additional regularity of the fluid velocity in radial direction is obtained by using the Stokes equation.
- For the shell displacement, an additional regularity is obtained up to the boundary.
Mesh interior regularity

- In this step we calculate mesh interior regularity (by excluding the mesh vertices).
- Again we have to multiply the test functions with appropriate smooth cut-off functions.
- For the mesh, we take the following test functions

\[
(-\Delta \partial_t \mathbf{d}_i, -\Delta \partial_t \mathbf{w}_i) = (-\partial_{ss} \partial_t \mathbf{d}_i, -\partial_{ss} \partial_t \mathbf{w}_i).
\]

- For the fluid and the shell, we take

\[-\partial_{ss} \mathbf{u} \text{ and } -\partial_{ss} \partial_t \eta.\]

- We obtain an additional fluid velocity and shell displacement regularity in \(s\)-direction.
- For the mesh, we obtain an additional regularity up to mesh vertices.